

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 3, 1999

LB 870

believe that that is pretty much what the amendment does and what I intend for it to do, and I would be happy to entertain any questions that anybody may have regarding the amendment. Thank you. Oh, I might just add before I sit down that you do have on your desk a letter that is from Fred Hlava, who is the Chairman of the Environmental Quality Council. This was an amendment that was requested by the Environmental Quality Council as well as some of the residents in the rural areas, so in the final paragraph in the letter from Mr. Hlava it states, we believe it is important for, and this was to the Natural Resources Committee, to consider amendments which would integrate local and county government zoning decisions into the application process for livestock facilities and it is essentially into that application process that my amendment would apply. With that, Mr. President, I relinquish the rest of the time that I have on my opening.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Debate on the Preister amendment, Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I rise to oppose the Preister amendment. It creates a new group of hurdles for an applicant for a livestock facility to jump through and it presumes, I guess, that if you're going to put a permit for a livestock facility that you're out of compliance even though you may meet all the local zoning compliances. I don't believe a livestock facility who has met all the local zoning requirements should have to be signed upon...should have to be signed off on by a county planning commission or other governing bodies with zoning power. If they have met all the zoning requirements but these individuals will not sign off on it, then what is the applicant of the livestock waste facility supposed to do? I think you're setting a double set of standards here. I don't think it's necessary. And we can impose a lot of requirements on our livestock facilities in the state if we want to, but at some point in time we're going to overburden the industry and the industry has said it's not going to be worth doing business in this state. This is one more hurdle I do not think we need. I will vote against it and I ask you to do also. If you have questions, I will try to answer them.